

Student seminar solutions Week 2

1. (a) From the course, we know that $Z(\mathfrak{P}/\mathfrak{p}) \cong \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}}/\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ and that the Frobenius element

$$\left(\frac{\mathfrak{P}}{K/F}\right) \in Z(\mathfrak{P}/\mathfrak{p}) \subset G$$

is the image by that isomorphism of the generator $\varphi_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}}/\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ with

$$\varphi_{\mathfrak{p}}(x) = x^{N_{\mathfrak{p}}} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}}$$

with $N_{\mathfrak{p}} = |\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}|$. From that definition and the fact that the elements of $Z(\mathfrak{P}/\mathfrak{p})$ send \mathfrak{P} to itself, we directly get that

$$\left(\frac{\mathfrak{P}}{K/F}\right)(\alpha) \equiv \alpha^{N_{\mathfrak{p}}} \pmod{\mathfrak{P}} \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K.$$

To show unicity, we now let $\sigma \in G$ with

$$\sigma(\alpha) \equiv \alpha^{N_{\mathfrak{p}}} \pmod{\mathfrak{P}} \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K,$$

From that property, it is obvious that σ sends \mathfrak{P} to itself, meaning i.p. that $\sigma \in Z(\mathfrak{P}/\mathfrak{p})$. Through the isomorphism $Z(\mathfrak{P}/\mathfrak{p}) \cong \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}}/\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})$, we see that σ induces the same automorphism $\varphi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ on $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}}$ as $\left(\frac{\mathfrak{P}}{K/F}\right)$, i.e.

$$\sigma = \left(\frac{\mathfrak{P}}{K/F}\right)$$

- (b) Let K/F be abelian, $F \subset L \subset K$ some intermediate extension, and $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_F$ an unramified prime in K . Choose a prime $\mathfrak{P} \subset \mathcal{O}_K$ above \mathfrak{p} and let $\mathfrak{P}_L = \mathfrak{P} \cap \mathcal{O}_L$.

By definition $\left(\frac{\mathfrak{p}}{K/F}\right) \in \text{Gal}(K/F)$ (which is independent of \mathfrak{P} as seen in class) is the unique element inducing the map $x \mapsto x^{N_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ on $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}}$. Its restriction $\left(\frac{\mathfrak{p}}{K/F}\right)|_L \in \text{Gal}(L/F)$ stabilizes \mathfrak{P}_L as it stabilizes \mathfrak{P} and therefore induces an automorphism of the residue field $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}_L} = \mathcal{O}_L/\mathfrak{P}_L$. But $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}_L} \subset \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}}$ therefore the map $\left(\frac{\mathfrak{p}}{K/F}\right)|_L$ with domain $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}_L}$ is defined directly by what $\left(\frac{\mathfrak{p}}{K/F}\right)$ does on $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}}$, i.e.

$$\left(\frac{\mathfrak{p}}{K/F}\right)|_L(x) = \left(\frac{\mathfrak{p}}{K/F}\right)(x) = x^{N_{\mathfrak{p}}},$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}_L}$ so $\left(\frac{\mathfrak{p}}{K/F}\right)|_L$ induces the map $x \mapsto x^{N_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ on $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}_L}$ which is exactly the map $\left(\frac{\mathfrak{p}}{L/F}\right)$.

2. (a) Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)$, $\zeta = \zeta_m$, $G = \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times$.
 Let a prime \mathfrak{p} and a prime \mathfrak{P} of \mathcal{O}_K above \mathfrak{p} . For an element $x \in \mathcal{O}_K$ or \mathcal{O}_F , denote by \bar{x} its class in $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}}$ or $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ respectively. Consider the inertia group

$$T := T(\mathfrak{P}/\mathfrak{p}) = \{\sigma \in G : \sigma(x) \equiv x \pmod{\mathfrak{P}} \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{O}_K\} \subset Z(\mathfrak{P}/\mathfrak{p})$$

i.e. the kernel of the natural surjection $\varphi : Z(\mathfrak{P}/\mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}}/\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})$.
 We have the following equality for the ramification index: $e = |T|$.
 In particular, \mathfrak{p} is ramified iff T is non-trivial.

Now note that each $\sigma \in G$ has the form $\sigma_a(\zeta) = \zeta^a$ for some $a \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times$. Let $\sigma_a \in T$, then by definition

$$\varphi(\sigma_a) = \text{Id}_{\text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}}/\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})}$$

In particular, this is equivalent to $\bar{\zeta}^a = \bar{\zeta}$ in $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}}$

If $p \nmid m$, then by part (b) the reduction map on the set of m -th roots of unity is injective modulo \mathfrak{P} . Therefore $\bar{\zeta}^a = \bar{\zeta}$ implies $\zeta^a = \zeta$, hence $a \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. Therefore $\sigma_a = \text{id}$, so T is trivial and \mathfrak{p} is unramified.

If $p \mid m$, write $m = p^r m'$ with $r \geq 1$ and $p \nmid m'$.

By the chinese remainder theorem we have

$$(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z})^\times \times (\mathbb{Z}/m'\mathbb{Z})^\times.$$

Consider $a \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times$ such that

$$a \equiv 1 \pmod{m'} \quad \text{but} \quad a \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p^r}.$$

Such an a exists since $(\mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z})^\times$ contains nontrivial units. Then ζ^{a-1} is a p^r -th root of unity, in particular

$$\bar{\zeta}^{a-1} = 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \bar{\zeta}^a = \bar{\zeta}.$$

Therefore $\sigma_a \in T$. But since $a \not\equiv 1 \pmod{m}$, we have $\sigma_a \neq \text{id}$, hence T is nontrivial and \mathfrak{p} ramifies in K .

- (b) Let $p \nmid m$ and $\mathfrak{P} \subset \mathcal{O}_K$ a prime above $\mathfrak{p} = p\mathbb{Z}$. Suppose $\zeta, \zeta' \in \mu_m := \{x \in K : x^m = 1\}$ satisfy

$$\bar{\zeta} = \bar{\zeta}' \quad \text{in } \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}}.$$

Note that $\bar{\zeta}^m = \bar{\zeta}'^m = 1$ in $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}}$, i.e. the common reduction is a root of $X^m - 1$ over $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}}$. Since $p \nmid m$ we have

$$\frac{d}{dX}(X^m - 1) = mX^{m-1} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

so the polynomial $X^m - 1$ is separable modulo p and therefore has exactly m distinct roots in $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$.

The elements of μ_m are m distinct roots of $X^m - 1$ in K , and their reductions (viewed in $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$) must therefore be m distinct roots of $X^m - 1$ as well. If two distinct m -th roots of unity in K had the same reduction, this would yield fewer than m distinct roots after reduction, contradicting separability. Hence no two distinct elements of μ_m can have the same reduction, and $\bar{\zeta} = \bar{\zeta}'$ implies $\zeta = \zeta'$.

(c) For $p \nmid m$, the Frobenius automorphism $(\frac{\mathfrak{F}}{K/F})$ satisfies $(\frac{\mathfrak{F}}{K/F})(\zeta) \equiv \zeta^p \pmod{\mathfrak{P}}$ for all $\zeta \in \mathcal{O}_K$ by 1(a). By 2(b), reduction modulo \mathfrak{P} is injective on m -th roots of unity, hence $(\frac{\mathfrak{F}}{K/F})(\zeta) = \zeta^p$. Identifying $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times$ via $\zeta \mapsto \zeta^a$, the Artin automorphism corresponds to $[p] \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times$.

3. First, let us clarify the exercise statement. As seen in class, the absolute discriminant of $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$ is a module (ideal) in the principal ring \mathbb{Z} with generator $d(v_1, \dots, v_n)$ where $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ is any integral basis of \mathcal{O}_K (a change in \mathbb{Z} -basis equates to multiplying by a matrix A with $\det(A)^2 = 1$ hence all basis here have the same discriminant). Our goal rather than to compute the module d_K is to compute the discriminant of any integral basis of \mathcal{O}_K .

Let $n = [K : \mathbb{Q}] = p - 1$, and consider the integral basis $\{1, \zeta_p, \dots, \zeta_p^{n-1}\}$ of \mathcal{O}_K . As seen in class, the discriminant of this basis is

$$d(1, \zeta_p, \dots, \zeta_p^{n-1}) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\sigma_i(\zeta_p) - \sigma_j(\zeta_p))^2,$$

where σ_k are the embeddings $K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by $\sigma_k(\zeta_p) = \zeta_p^k$. Hence,

$$d(1, \zeta_p, \dots, \zeta_p^{n-1}) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\zeta_p^i - \zeta_p^j)^2.$$

Factor ζ_p^i from each term: $\zeta_p^i - \zeta_p^j = \zeta_p^i(1 - \zeta_p^{j-i})$, so

$$\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\zeta_p^i - \zeta_p^j)^2 = \left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \zeta_p^i \right)^2 \left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (1 - \zeta_p^{j-i}) \right)^2$$

Let us compute these products. For the first product:

$$\left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \zeta_p^i \right)^2 = \zeta_p^{2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} i} = \zeta_p^{2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} i(n-i)} = \zeta_p^{\frac{n(n-1)(n+1)}{3}} = \zeta_p^{\frac{p(p-1)(p-2)}{3}}$$

If $p > 3$, then $\frac{(p-1)(p-2)}{3} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $p \mid \frac{p(p-1)(p-2)}{3}$, meaning that $\left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \zeta_p^i \right)^2 = 1$.

For $p = 3$ then $\left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \zeta_p^i\right)^2 = \zeta_3^2$.

For the second product, consider the pairs $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. For each $k = j - i$, the number of pairs with difference k is $n - k = p - 1 - k$, so

$$\left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (1 - \zeta_p^{j-i})\right)^2 = \left(\prod_{k=1}^{p-2} (1 - \zeta_p^k)^{p-1-k}\right)^2 = (1 - \zeta_p)^{2(p-2)} \left(\prod_{k=2}^{p-2} (1 - \zeta_p^k)^{p-1-k}\right)^2$$

Using the equality $1 - \zeta_p^{p-k} = -\zeta_p^{-k}(1 - \zeta_p^k)$ and pairing k with $p - k$ for $k \in \{2, \dots, \frac{p-1}{2}\}$:

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - \zeta_p^k)^{2(p-1-k)} (1 - \zeta_p^{p-k})^{2(p-1-(p-k))} \\ &= (-1)^{2(k-1)} \zeta_p^{-2k(k-1)} (1 - \zeta_p^k)^{2(p-1-k)} (1 - \zeta_p^k)^{2(k-1)} \\ &= \zeta_p^{-2k(k-1)} (1 - \zeta_p^k)^{2(p-2)} \\ &= \zeta_p^{-2k(k-1)} (-\zeta_p^k(1 - \zeta_p^k)(1 - \zeta_p^{p-k}))^{p-2} \\ &= (-1)^{p-2} \zeta_p^{-2k^2} ((1 - \zeta_p^k)(1 - \zeta_p^{p-k}))^{p-2} \end{aligned}$$

Which allows us to write

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\prod_{k=1}^{p-2} (1 - \zeta_p^k)^{p-1-k}\right)^2 &= (1 - \zeta_p)^{2(p-2)} \left(\prod_{k=2}^{(p-1)/2} (-1)^{p-2} \zeta_p^{-2k^2} ((1 - \zeta_p^k)(1 - \zeta_p^{p-k}))^{p-2}\right) \\ &= (1 - \zeta_p)^{p-2} \left(\prod_{k=2}^{(p-1)/2} (-1)^{p-2} \zeta_p^{-2k^2}\right) \left(\prod_{k=1}^{p-2} (1 - \zeta_p^k)\right)^{p-2} \\ &= -\zeta_p^{p-2} (1 - \zeta_p^{p-1})^{p-2} \left(\prod_{k=2}^{(p-1)/2} (-1)^{p-2} \zeta_p^{-2k^2}\right) \left(\prod_{k=1}^{p-2} (1 - \zeta_p^k)\right)^{p-2} \\ &= \zeta_p^{-2} \left(\prod_{k=1}^{(p-1)/2} (-1)^{p-2}\right) \left(\prod_{k=2}^{(p-1)/2} \zeta_p^{-2k^2}\right) \left(\prod_{k=1}^{p-1} (1 - \zeta_p^k)\right)^{p-2} \\ &= \left(\prod_{k=1}^{(p-1)/2} (-1)\right) \left(\prod_{k=1}^{(p-1)/2} \zeta_p^{-2k^2}\right) \left(\prod_{k=1}^{p-1} (1 - \zeta_p^k)\right)^{p-2} \\ &= (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \zeta_p^{-\frac{p(p-1)(p+1)}{12}} \left(\prod_{k=1}^{p-1} (1 - \zeta_p^k)\right)^{p-2} \end{aligned}$$

Differentiating the equality $X^p - 1 = (X - 1) \prod_{k=1}^{p-1} (X - \zeta_p^k)$ and evaluating at $X = 1$ gives $\prod_{k=1}^{p-1} (1 - \zeta_p^k) = p$. Hence

$$\left(\prod_{k=1}^{p-2} (1 - \zeta_p^k)^{p-1-k}\right)^2 = (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \zeta_p^{-\frac{p(p-1)(p+1)}{12}} p^{p-2}.$$

If $p > 3$, then $\frac{(p-1)(p+1)}{12} \in \mathbb{Z}$ hence $\zeta_p^{-\frac{p(p-1)(p+1)}{12}} = 1$.

For $p = 3$, $\zeta_p^{-\frac{p(p-1)(p+1)}{12}} = \zeta_3$. Remember the equality $\left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \zeta_p^i\right)^2 = \zeta_3^2$ for $p = 3$, the multiplication of those terms gives us 1, and indeed for any odd prime p , we obtain

$$d(1, \zeta_p, \dots, \zeta_p^{n-1}) = (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} p^{p-2}.$$

4. (a) As in ex. 3, we are looking to compute the discriminant of an integral basis of $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$. It is clear the the embeddings $\sigma : \mathbb{K} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ are entirely determined by the image of \sqrt{d} . In particular, the image $\sigma(\sqrt{d})$ must also be a zero of the quadratic polynomial $x^2 - d$. This implies that the unique non trivial embedding $\mathbb{K} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ sends \sqrt{d} to $-\sqrt{d}$. Characterising these embeddings justifies the computations of d_K that we are about to do.

If $d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1+\sqrt{d}}{2}\right]$ with integral basis $\left\{1, \frac{1+\sqrt{d}}{2}\right\}$. By definition, the discriminant is

$$d_K = \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1+\sqrt{d}}{2} \\ 1 & \frac{1-\sqrt{d}}{2} \end{pmatrix}^2 = d.$$

If $d \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}$, then $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{d}]$ with integral basis $\{1, \sqrt{d}\}$. As justified above, the discriminant is

$$d_K = \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{d} \\ 1 & -\sqrt{d} \end{pmatrix}^2 = 4d.$$

- (b) Write $\mathfrak{p} = p\mathbb{Z}$ and let $\mathfrak{P} \subset \mathcal{O}_K$ be a prime lying above \mathfrak{p} . By definition, p being unramified in K/\mathbb{Q} means $p \nmid d_K$. By part (a) it follows in particular that $p \nmid d$.

By definition, the Frobenius element $\left(\frac{\mathfrak{p}}{K/\mathbb{Q}}\right) \in \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ satisfies

$$\left(\frac{\mathfrak{p}}{K/\mathbb{Q}}\right)(\alpha) \equiv \alpha^p \pmod{\mathfrak{P}} \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K.$$

We apply this to $\alpha = \sqrt{d}$. Euler's criterion in the residue field $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}}$ gives

$$\sqrt{d}^{p-1} = d^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv \left(\frac{d}{p}\right) \pmod{\mathfrak{P}},$$

so multiplying by \sqrt{d} yields

$$\left(\frac{\mathfrak{p}}{K/\mathbb{Q}}\right)(\sqrt{d}) \equiv \left(\frac{d}{p}\right)\sqrt{d} \pmod{\mathfrak{P}}.$$

The reductions of \sqrt{d} and $-\sqrt{d}$ are distinct: if $\sqrt{d} \equiv -\sqrt{d} \pmod{\mathfrak{P}}$ then $2\sqrt{d} \in \mathfrak{P}$, which cannot happen because p is odd and $p \nmid d$. Thus reduction modulo \mathfrak{P} distinguishes the two galois images, so the congruence above implies the following equality for the Frobenius element

$$\left(\frac{\mathfrak{p}}{K/\mathbb{Q}}\right) = \left(\frac{d}{p}\right) \in \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \{\pm 1\}$$

Finally, since p is odd and $p \nmid d_K$, we have $\left(\frac{d}{p}\right) = 1$, so $\left(\frac{d_K}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{d}{p}\right)$ and we get the desired result.